



RITESH INTERNATIONAL LIMITED

POLICY ON DETERMINING CRITERIA FOR AND DEALING WITH RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Effective Date: 20th April, 2026

**POLICY ON DETERMINING CRITERIA FOR AND DEALING WITH RELATED
PARTY TRANSACTIONS
OF
RITESH INTERNATIONAL LIMITED**

The Board of Directors (the “Board”) of Central Depository Services (India) Limited (“Company”) has, basis the recommendation of the Audit Committee, adopted this Related Party Transactions Policy (this “Policy”), which defines and lays down the procedures with regard to Related Party Transactions, pursuant to Regulation 23 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 (“Listing Regulations”) to the extent of the regulations being applicable.

As on the date of the approval of the policy, as per the Regulation 15 of the LODR, the Corporate Governance regulations, including Regulation 23, are not applicable to the Company. The policy has been formed voluntarily.

In order to determine the applicability of the policy, the policy shall be applicable to the company as and when the corporate governance regulations (including regulation 23) become due to be applicable to the Company.

Definitions

“**Arm’s Length Transaction**” means a transaction between two Related Parties that is conducted as if they were unrelated, so that there is no conflict of interest.

“**Audit Committee or Committee**” means the committee of the Board of the Company constituted in accordance with provisions of the Listing Regulations and the Companies Act.

“**Board**” means the board of directors of the Company.

“**Key Managerial Personnel**” means key managerial personnel as defined under the Companies Act.

“**Material Related Party Transaction**” means a material transaction with a Related Party as defined under Section 23(1) of the Listing Regulations.

“**Ordinary course of business**” means a transaction as may be so determined based on the guiding principles set down under Appendix A, which may be amended from time to time in accordance with the statutory requirements and other industry practices and guidelines.

“**Policy**” means this Related Party Transaction Policy.

“**Related Party**” means related party as defined under Section 2(76) of the Companies Act and Regulation 2(1)(zb) of the Listing Regulations, read with amendments issued from time to time.

Section 2(1)(zb) of the Listing Regulations

An entity shall be considered as related to the company if:

- (i) such entity is a related party under Section 2(76) of the Companies Act:
or
- (ii) such entity is a related party under the applicable accounting standards.

“Related Party Transaction” means a related party transaction as defined under Regulation 2(1)(zc) of the Listing Regulations read with amendments issued from time to time which is as follows: Section 2(1)(zc) of the Listing Regulations

“Relative” with reference to a director or a Key Managerial Personnel means persons defined under Section 2(77) of the Companies Act read with amendments issued from time to time.

Any other term not defined herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Companies Act, the Listing Regulations or any other applicable law or regulation.

(A) Policy

1. Materiality Thresholds

The Board has, in accordance with the Listing Regulations, determined that a transaction with a related party shall be considered as material if the transaction(s) to be entered into individually or taken together with previous transactions during a financial year, exceeds rupees one thousand crore or ten per cent of the annual consolidated turnover of the listed entity as per the last audited financial statements of the listed entity, whichever is lower.

(The above limits defined shall stand changed accordingly to comply with the provisions of the LODR as per the statutory modification(s) adopted in the Regulations).

Material modification of a transaction shall occur, if the change in the value of the transaction **exceeds 30 percent** of the value of the transaction **or Rs. 25 Crores, whichever is lower**. All such material modifications shall require prior approval of the Audit Committee.

2. Periodic identification of Related Parties

2.1 Related parties shall be identified under Companies Act and the Listing Agreement, as amended from time to time and regularly verified.

2.2 The Secretarial Department of the Company shall request from all the Directors and Key Managerial Personnel information that may be required for inclusion in the list of Related Parties of the Company.

2.3 Each Director and Key Managerial Personnel of the Company shall be required to inform the Secretarial Department of the Company of any change in the information previously provided on the list of Related Parties of the Company.

2.4 Each Director and Key Managerial Personnel is responsible for providing notice to the Board or Audit Committee of any potential Related Party Transaction involving him or her or his or her Relative, including any additional information about the transaction that the Board / Audit Committee may reasonably request.

2.5 The list of identified Related Parties will be tagged and updated in the accounting system regularly and also periodically sent out to those of the staff of the Company that might be in the position to conduct or know of the possible conduct of Related Party Transactions.

3. Approvals for transactions with related parties:

3.1 Before undertaking any transaction, it must be examined by the Company whether such transaction qualifies as a Related Party Transaction, requiring compliance with this Policy. The Chief Financial Officer in consultation with the Company Secretary and other persons, as appropriate, shall determine whether a transaction does, in fact, constitute a Related Party Transaction and if so, ascertain in which of the following categories such transaction should be classified in order to determine the approval requirements:

3.2 Approval of Audit Committee

- (i) All related party transactions and subsequent material modifications shall require prior approval of the audit committee. However, the Company may obtain omnibus approval from the Audit Committee for such transactions, subject to compliances set out below.
- (ii) The Audit Committee shall, after obtaining approval of the Board, specify the criteria for granting the omnibus approval in line with the Policy and such approval which shall include the following namely:
 - (a) Maximum value of the transaction, in aggregate, which can be allowed under the omnibus route in a year;
 - (b) The maximum value per transaction which can be allowed;
 - (b) Extent and manner of disclosures to be made to the Audit Committee at the time of seeking omnibus approval;
 - (d) Review, at such intervals as the Audit Committee may deem fit, of Related Party Transaction entered into by the Company pursuant to each omnibus approval made; and

- (e) Transactions which cannot be subject to the omnibus approval by the Audit Committee.
- (iii) The Audit Committee shall consider the following factors while specifying the criteria for making omnibus approval, namely:-
 - (a) repetitiveness of the transactions (in past or in future); and
 - (b) justification for the need of omnibus approval.

Provided that where the need for Related Party Transactions cannot be foreseen and details are not available, the Audit Committee may grant omnibus approval for such transactions subject to their value not exceeding Rupees 1 crore per transaction.

- (iv) The omnibus approval shall provide details of (a) the name/s of the Related Party, nature of transaction, period of transaction, maximum aggregated value of the particular type of transaction that can be entered into; (b) basis of arriving at the indicative base price / current contracted price and the formula for variation in the price if any; and (c) such other conditions as the Audit Committee may deem fit.
- (v) The Audit Committee shall review, at least on a quarterly basis, the aggregated value and other details of Related Party Transactions transacted into by the Company pursuant to the omnibus approval given.

3.3 Approval of the Board

All Transactions which are not in the Ordinary course of business or that qualify as an Arm's Length Transaction will be put up for prior approval of the Board.

3.4 Approval of the Shareholders

In case the Company has a paid-up share capital exceeding the amount as may be prescribed or the value of the specified transaction exceeds the prescribed thresholds under the Companies Act read with applicable rules, it will be also be put up for prior approval of the shareholders in accordance with the requirements under the Companies Act.

Notwithstanding, all Material Related Party Transactions will be carried out only after prior approval from shareholders of the Company in accordance with the requirements under the Listing Regulations.

3.5 Exempted Transactions

The requirement of seeking approval of the Audit Committee or Board or the shareholders in accordance with Paragraph 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 above, shall not apply in respect of exempted transactions as specified under Companies Act, the Listing Regulations and any other applicable laws.

4. Voting requirements

- 4.1 Before approving any Related Party Transaction, the Chief Financial Officer must express a reasoned opinion on the Company's interest in carrying out the transaction and on the benefits and substantive fairness of the related terms. In determining whether to approve or ratify a Related Party Transaction, the Audit Committee / Board, as the case may be, shall take into account among other factors it deems appropriate, whether the Related Party Transaction is in the Ordinary course of business of the Company and whether it qualifies as an Arm's Length Transaction and the extent of the Related Party's interest in the transaction.
- 4.2 The term Ordinary course of business has been elaborated in Appendix A to this Policy. For this purpose, the Audit Committee / Board, as the case may be, is entitled to seek the assistance of any employee of the Company or one or more independent experts of its choice at the expense of the Company.
- 4.3 Any member of the Audit Committee who has a potential interest in any Related Party Transaction will abstain from any discussions or voting on such proposals.
- 4.4 If any director of the Company is interested in any contract or arrangement with a Related Party, such director cannot be present at the Audit Committee / Board meeting of the Company during discussions in the matter.
- 4.5 Members who are Related Parties in the context of the Related Party contract or arrangement for which a resolution is to be passed to abstain from voting and only disinterested shareholder and disinterested related party shall be eligible to vote.

5. Ordinary Course of Business and Arm's Length Price:

- 5.1 Arm's length pricing in respect of all Related Party Transactions shall be determined in accordance with the policy memos adopted by the Board for specified Related Party Transactions, where such memos have been prepared.
- 5.2 All proposed Related Party Transactions may be assessed as to whether they are in the Ordinary course of business by reference to the Company's Note on 'Determination of Ordinary Course of Business', as approved by the Audit Committee.

6. Disclosure and reporting

- 6.1 Details of the Related Party Transactions during the quarter shall be disclosed in the Audit Committee and Board meeting.
- 6.2 The Company shall disclose to the stock exchange along with the compliance report on corporate governance on a quarterly basis details of all transactions with Related Parties exceeding the materiality threshold.
- 6.3 Director's report shall contain details of Related Party Transactions as required under the Companies Act.
7. Review of the Policy

The adequacy of this Policy shall be reviewed and reassessed by the Audit Committee periodically and appropriate recommendations shall be made to the Board to update the Policy based on the changes that may be brought about due to any regulatory amendments or otherwise.

Appendix A

Determination of Ordinary Course of Business

Background:

Section 188(1) of the Companies Act states that a company shall not enter into any contract or arrangement, as specified therein, with a related party which is not in the ordinary course of business and which is not at arm's length, without the consent of the board of directors given by a resolution at the meeting of the board of directors. In case the Company has a paid-up share capital exceeding the amount as may be prescribed or the value of the specified transaction exceeds the prescribed thresholds under the Companies Act read with the rules, it will be also be put up for prior approval of the shareholders through special resolutions.

So, for transactions meeting both the criteria in the third proviso to section 188(1) of the Companies Act, viz. transactions that are entered in the Ordinary course of business and amount to an Arms' Length Transaction, the provisions of Section 188(1) of the Companies Act would not apply.

Whilst the framework policy defined by us defines an Arm's Length Transaction, the Policy does not articulate what would be deemed as ordinary course of business for the Company.

What is Ordinary course of Business?

The phrase 'ordinary course of business' is not defined under the Companies Act or the rules prescribed thereunder. An assessment of whether a transaction is in 'ordinary course of business' may be very subjective, judgmental and can vary on case-to-case basis. The purpose of making such assessment is to determine whether the transaction is usual or customary to the Company and / or its line of business.

The Company would, therefore, be required to exercise its judgment to conclude whether a transaction which the Company enters into can be considered to be in the ordinary course of its business.

For example, a car manufacturing company selling car to its group company would easily be deemed as a transaction that has been entered into by the company in its ordinary course of business.

Transactions that may be considered outside a company's normal course of business:

International Standard on Auditing ("ISA") 550- 'Related Parties' has listed certain illustrative (not exhaustive) examples of the same:

- a. Complex equity transaction, such as corporate restructurings or acquisitions;
- b. Transactions with offshore entities in jurisdictions with weak corporate laws;
- c. The leasing of premises or the rendering of management services by the entity to another party if no consideration is exchanged;
- d. Transactions with circular arrangements, for example, sales with a commitment to repurchase; and
- e. Transactions under contracts whose terms are changed before expiry.

Tests for determining whether a contract/ activity falls within the ordinary course of business:

The courts have inter alia laid down the following principles in this regard:

- a. the objects of the company permit such activity;
- b. it is a historical practice and there is a pattern of frequency (and not an isolated transaction);
- c. it has a connection with the normal business carried on by the company;
- d. the income, if any, earned from such activity/transaction is assessed as business income in the company's books of accounts and hence, is a 'business activity'; and
- e. It is a common commercial practice.

Key factors which the management of the Company may consider in making its assessment for ordinary course of business of the Company:

- i. **Whether the transaction is covered in its Memorandum of Association:**

If the transaction is covered in the objects clause of the Memorandum of Association then it is likely to be in ordinary course of business of the company.

ii. **Whether a transaction is usual or unusual:**

Although a Company would be outsourcing its IT processes for the first time, if that is a norm in the industry in which it operates the transaction is not unusual. Hence, whilst deciding the usualness or otherwise of a transaction, one should not restrict oneself only to the company and its past history; rather, a wider perspective covering line of business.

iii. **Frequency:**

If a transaction occurs frequently over a period of time, the more likely it is to be an ordinary part of the business. However, the inverse of this does not necessarily hold true.

iv. **Business purpose of the transaction and whether transaction is done on similar basis with other third parties:**

The Company would consider transactions to be in the ordinary course of business which include those that form part of the revenue from operations, the costs of goods / products sold and the normal expenses incurred for operating the business uninterruptedly or part of capital asset like replacement / maintenance of fixed assets (considering the business rationale and without any complicated terms and conditions as compared to transactions with independent third parties)

A transaction proposed to be disclosed as part of other income or other expenses, exceptional or extraordinary may generally be assessed on a case to case basis as to whether they could be considered to be in the ordinary course of business.

v. **Size and volume of transaction.**

The materiality of the transaction in terms of its value may be considered.

NOTE:

The provisions of the LODR Regulation 23 are not applicable to the Company as on date.